## **EXHIBIT 19**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re

18-md-02865-LAK

CUSTOMS AND TAX ADMINISTRATION OF THE KINGDOM OF DENMARK (SKATTEFORVALTNINGEN) TAX REFUND SCHEME LITIGATION

This document relates to: The cases

identified in Appendix A.

Request for International Judicial Assistance pursuant to the Hague Convention of 18 March 1970 on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters

The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York presents its compliments to the appropriate judicial authority of the Kingdom of Denmark, and requests international judicial assistance to obtain evidence to be used in a civil proceeding before this Court in the above captioned matter. This request is made pursuant to and in conformity with the Hague Convention of 18 March 1970 on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters.

This Court requests the assistance described herein as necessary in the interests of justice. The assistance requested is that the appropriate judicial authority of Denmark compel the below named individual to produce testimony.

This Court considers that the evidence sought is directly relevant to issues of fact and law that may influence the final determination of the existence, non-existence, and/or extent of any liability in this matter. It is expected, based on existing timetables, that the trial will begin on January 7, 2025.

The particulars of this Hague Evidence Request are as follows:

**1. Sender** Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan

District Judge

United States District Court for the Southern District of

New York

2. Central Authority of the

**Requested State** 

Ministry of Justice

Procedural Law Division

Slotsholmsgade 10

1216 COPENHAGEN K

Denmark

3. Person to whom the

executed request is to be

returned

Thomas E.L. Dewey

Dewey Pegno & Kramarsky, LLP 777 Third Avenue, 29th Floor

New York, NY 10017

Tel.: (212) 943-9000 Fax: (212) 943-4325

Email: tdewey@dpklaw.com

4. Specification of the day by which the requesting authority requires receipt of the response to the Letter of Request

**Date** June 28, 2024

**Reason for Urgency, if applicable** Trial is scheduled to begin on January 7, 2025 with

pretrial motions due August 15, 2024.

## IN CONFORMITY WITH ARTICLE 3 OF THE CONVENTION, THE UNDERSIGNED APPLICANT HAS THE HONOR TO SUBMIT THE FOLLOWING REQUEST:

**5a. Requesting judicial** Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan

authority (Article 3,a) District Judge

United States District Court for the Southern District of

New York

**5b.** To the competent authority of (Article 3,a)

1.

The Kingdom of Denmark

5c. Names of the case and any

identifying number

In re Customs and Tax Administration of the Kingdom of

Denmark (SKAT) Tax Refund Scheme Litigation, 18-md-

2865 (LAK)

#### 6. Names and addresses of the parties and their representatives

a. Plaintiff **SKAT** 

> Østbanegade 123 2200 København Ø

Denmark

Representatives William R. Maguire

Marc A. Weinstein Neil J. Oxford

Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP

One Battery Park Plaza

New York, New York 10004-1482

United States of America

b. Defendants Please refer to the attached Appendix A

Representatives Please refer to the attached Appendix A

#### 7. Nature of the Proceedings

#### a. Nature of the Proceedings

In May and June 2018, Plaintiff SKAT filed 140 similar complaints in eleven different federal judicial districts. On October 3, 2018, the federal complaints were consolidated in this Multi-District Litigation ("MDL") and assigned to the Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan. Since that time, SKAT filed several additional complaints which were consolidated into the MDL. SKAT filed amended complaints in April 2020, which defendants answered on June 29, 2020. On April 1, 2024, the Court scheduled a trial involving a subset of the defendants in the MDL (the "Defendants") to begin on January 7, 2025. Those Defendants and SKAT seek the evidence requested here.

Defendants are six individuals and 69 pension plans that Defendants assert were qualified under section 401(a) of the United States Internal Revenue Code, exempt from taxation under

section 501(a) of the United States Internal Revenue Code, and residents of the United States of America for purposes of U.S. taxation. SKAT disputes that the 69 pension plans were qualified under section 401(a) of the United States Internal Revenue Code and exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of the United States Internal Revenue Code. Defendant pension plans participated in a dividend arbitrage trading strategy, which SKAT alleges was fraudulent. Danish companies are required to withhold 27% tax on dividends they pay to shareholders. Under certain double taxation treaties between Denmark and other countries, including the United States, this tax is reimbursable to certain non-Danish shareholders, including pension plans qualified under section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code. Defendant pension plans, acting through others, applied to SKAT claiming repayments of tax withheld on dividends that they earned on shares of Danish companies that they held. SKAT paid one or more of those tax repayments. Now, SKAT claims that Defendant pension plans did not own the shares forming the basis of those tax reclaim applications and werethat it should not entitled to have paid the refund payments.

The witness whose testimony is sought pursuant to this Letter of Request is Sanjay Shah, the founder of the firm Solo Capital Partners and who is currently being tried in Danish courts on charges of criminal fraud. SKAT alleges that Sanjay Shah designed and operated the dividend arbitrage trading strategy conducted by Defendant pension plans. The Defendants and SKAT seek to examine Sanjay Shah about his Danish dividend arbitrage strategy so that the Court in New York can receive in admissible form a record of his testimony for the benefit of the jury that will hear SKAT's claims to recover its losses..

#### **b.** Summary of Complaints

The allegations in Plaintiff SKAT's complaints in each of the consolidated actions are substantially similar. SKAT brought complaints against the pension plans that received dividend

4

Payment Agents to submit dividend withholding tax refund claims to SKAT, and defendants who incorporated business entities they claim are associated with the pension plans. SKAT has also brought claims against defendants it claims aided and abetted the other defendants. The conduct at the heart of Plaintiff SKAT's complaints—the allegedly fraudulent dividend arbitrage trading strategy designed by Shah—is alleged to have occurred between 2012 and 2015. Plaintiff SKAT asserts claims for fraud, aiding and abetting fraud, civil conspiracy, payment by mistake, unjust enrichment, negligent misrepresentation, and related claims.

#### c. Summary of Defenses

Defendants deny all allegations of wrongdoing made by SKAT and assert numerous defenses to SKAT's allegations. Among many other defenses, Defendants maintain that they acted at all times reasonably and with due care, reasonably relied upon the actions and statements of others, and did not directly or indirectly cause, induce, aid, or abet any acts constituting the claims asserted by SKAT. Defendants also assert that they did not act with the mental state required by SKAT's claims, among other reasons because they relied directly or indirectly on documents, statements, and information provided by Sanjay Shah and others.

8a. Evidence to be obtained or other judicial act to be performed (Article 3,d)

Defendants and SKAT seek testimony from Sanjay Shah

**8b.** Purpose of the evidence or other judicial act sought

The witness's testimony is relevant to establishing one or more of the parties' claims or Defendants' defenses in this action.

9. Identity and address of any person to be examined (Article 3,e)

Sanjay Shah is the founder of Solo Capital Partners ("Solo") and is currently being tried in Danish courts on charges of tax fraud.

On information and belief, Sanjay Shah is currently in the custody of Danish authorities.

10. Questions to be put to the person to be examined or statement of the subject-matter about which they are to be examined (Article 3,f)

#### a. Definitions

i. "Dividend Arbitrage Trading Strategy" – the trading strategy developed by Sanjay Shah that SKAT alleges involved fraudulent claims for repayments of tax withheld on dividends issued on shares of Danish companies.

#### b. Subject of Testimony

The subject of Sanjay Shah's testimony will be:

- i. Sanjay Shah's and Solo's development of the Dividend Arbitrage Trading
   Strategy;
- The mechanics and component parts of the Dividend Arbitrage Trading
   Strategy, including the identity and ownership of any counterparty entities;
- Sanjay Shah's and Solo's operation of the Dividend Arbitrage TradingStrategy;
- iv. Sanjay Shah's understanding of whether the Dividend Arbitrage TradingStrategy complied with Danish law, and basis thereof;
- v. Sanjay Shah's and Solo's communications with Defendants concerning the Dividend Arbitrage Trading Strategy, including the operation of that Strategy;

- vii. Due diligence and related research conducted by certain of the Defendants of Sanjay Shah and Solo prior to onboarding as clients of Solo;
- viii. Representations by Sanjay Shah concerning FCA authorization or similar regulatory approval of Solo business activities;
- ix. Whether Solo or any other custodian or sub-custodian held custody of any

  Danish shares as part of the Dividend Arbitrage Trading Strategy;
- x. Whether Solo or any other entity engaged in any actual transfers of shares or cash in connection with the purchase or sale of any shares, or received any actual cash, directly or indirectly, from dividends from any Danish company as part of the Dividend Arbitrage Trading Strategy;
- xi. How Sanjay Shah and Solo, or any related entity, distributed the proceeds of the refunds paid by SKAT as a result of the Defendant pension plans' refund applications; and
- xii. Whether Sanjay Shah testified in pending civil proceedings in England, and recognizes the transcripts of those proceedings as his testimony.

#### 11. Documents or other property to be inspected (Article 3,g)

N/A.

12. Any requirement that the evidence be given on oath or affirmation and any special form to be used (Article 3,h)

The examinations shall be taken under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of the United States of America, except to the extent such procedure is incompatible with the law of the Kingdom of Denmark. The testimony shall be given under oath.

# 13. Special methods or procedure to be followed (Articles 3,i and 9)

The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York respectfully requests that:

- a. The Parties' United States and Danish lawyers be permitted to examine and cross-examine the witnesses directly and consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure of the United States;
- b. The In the event the examination is conducted by the Danish Court, the Parties request the opportunity to submit to the Court in advance a list of questions to be posed to the witness that pertain to the subjects of testimony indicated in Section 10(b), and that the Parties' United States and Danish lawyers be permitted to attend the oral testimony and ask supplementary questions to the witness-if the examination is conducted by the Danish Court;
- c. Or the Danish Court should conduct the examination in any way it deems appropriate pursuant to applicable law;
- e.d. The Parties' United States and Danish lawyers be allowed to participate in the examination of the requested witness by video-conference per the enclosed 'Optional Form For Video-Link Evidence,' as practicable and in discussion with the Ministry of Justice regarding technical logistics and that the video-conference be recorded and a copy provided to the Parties;
- d.e. Oral testimony of the witnesses be videotaped and recorded verbatim, and that a professional videographer and a professional stenographer be permitted to attend the oral testimony in order to record the testimony; the costs of the court reporter or of the videographer being at the charge of defendants;
- 14. Request for notification of the time and place for the execution of the Request and identity and address of any person to be notified (Article 7)

It is requested that testimony be taken at such place, date or time as ordered by the Ministry of Justice and/or as otherwise scheduled by the representatives of the Defendants and SKAT and/or as otherwise agreed to by the witnesses and the respective representatives of the Parties.

Notice thereof should be made to Defendants' Danish designee:

Notice thereof should be made to Defendants' Representatives, as listed in Appendix A.

Notice thereof should be made to Plaintiff's Danish designees:

Poul Schmith/Kammeradvokaten
Boris Frederiksen
Anne Christian Kjaer Egholm
Kalvebod Brygge 32
DK-1560 Kasper Bech Pilgaard
TVC Law Firm
Nimbusparken 24, 2d fl., 2000 Frederiksberg,
Copenhagen-V, Denmark
Tel: +45 33 15 20 10
Email: bor@poulschmith.dk

15. Request for attendance or participation of judicial personnel of the requesting authority at the execution of the Letter of Request (Article 8)

None.

16. Specification of privilege or duty to refuse to give evidence under the law of the State of origin (Article 11,b)

Under the laws of the United States, a party has a privilege to refuse to disclose the contents of a confidential communication between that party and an attorney that was made for the purpose of obtaining legal advice. Waiver of the privilege over some communications, in some circumstances, can be deemed a waiver of privilege over other communications concerning the same subject matter.

Parties also enjoy limited privileges not relevant here, such as communications between physician and patient, psychotherapist and patient, husband and wife, or clergy and penitent.

United States law also <u>in certain circumstances</u> recognizes a testimonial privilege against <u>compulsory</u> criminal self-incrimination.

Outside the strict area of privilege, certain limited immunities are available that may place restrictions on the giving of evidence, such as the limited protection of documents created by attorneys in anticipation of litigation.

17. The fees and costs incurred which are reimbursable under the second paragraph of Article 14 or under Article 26 of the Convention will be borne by

Defendants

Date of Request:

Signature and Seal of the Requesting Authority

### APPENDIX A

Defendants	Counsel	Associated Case(s)
John van Merkensteijn, III	Sharon L. McCarthy	18-cv-04833
John van Merkensterjii, III	Caroline Ciraolo	19-cv-01788
	Nicholas S. Bahnsen	19-cv-01794
	Kostelanetz & Fink LLP	19-cv-01798
	7 World Trade Center, 34 <sup>th</sup> Floor	19-cv-01800
	New York, New York 10007	19-cv-01801
	Tel: (212) 808-8100	19-cv-01803
	Fax: (212) 808-8108	19-cv-01809
	cciraolo@kflaw.com	19-cv-01810
	smccarthy@kflaw.com	19-cv-01813
	nbahnsen@kflaw.com	19-cv-01818
		19-cv-01865
		19-cv-01866
		19-cv-01871
		19-cv-01873
		19-cv-01893
		19-cv-01894
		19-cv-01906
		19-cv-01911
		19-cv-01918
		19-cv-01924
Til 1 d M 1 d ''		19-cv-01928
Elizabeth van Merkensteijn		19-cv-01930
Amalaa Danaian Dlan		19-cv-01931
Azalea Pension Plan		19-cv-10713
Basalt Ventures LLC Roth 401(K) Plan		
Bernina Pension Plan		
Bernina Pension Plan Trust		
Michelle Investments Pension Plan		
Omineca Pension Plan		
Omineca Trust		

Remece Investments LLC Pension Plan  Starfish Capital Management LLC Roth 401(K) Plan  Tarvos Pension Plan  Voojo Productions LLC Roth 401(K) Plan  Xiphias LLC Pension Plan  Richard Markowitz	Alan E. Schoenfield Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 7 World Trade Center 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007 Telephone: (212) 230-8800 alan.schoenfeld@wilmerhale.com	18-cv-04833 19-cv-01781 19-cv-01783 19-cv-01795 19-cv-01792 19-cv-01806 19-cv-01808 19-cv-01815 19-cv-01815 19-cv-01867 19-cv-01868 19-cv-01868
	Telephone: (212) 230-8800	19-cv-01806 19-cv-01808 19-cv-01812 19-cv-01815 19-cv-01867 19-cv-01868 19-cv-01869
Jocelyn Markowitz  Avanix Management LLC		19-cv-01870 19-cv-01895 19-cv-01896 19-cv-01898 19-cv-01904 19-cv-01911 19-cv-01922
Roth 401(K) Plan		19-cv-01922 19-cv-01924 19-cv-01926

		1
Batavia Capital Pension Plan		19-cv-01929
Calypso Investments		19-cv-10713
Pension Plan		
Cavus Systems LLC Roth		
401(K) Plan		
Hadron Industries LLC Roth		
401(K) Plan		
RJM Capital Pension Plan		
Turis cuprimi s constant sums		
RJM Capital Pension Plan		
Trust		
Tust		
Routt Capital Pension Plan		
Routt Capital I clision I lan		
Routt Capital Trust		
Routt Capital Trust		
Robert Klugman	David L. Goldberg	18-cv-04434
Robert Kiuginan	Michael M. Rosensaft	18-cv-07824
	Zhanna A. Ziering	18-cv-07827
	Katten Munchin Rosenman LLP	18-cv-07828
	50 Rockefeller Plaza	18-cv-07829
	New York, NY 10020	19-cv-01781
RAK Investment Trust	Tel.: (212) 940-8800	19-cv-01783
KAK nivestment Trust	Fax: (212) 940-8800	19-cv-01785
Agrayana Lagistics LLC	· · · · ·	19-cv-01788
Aerovane Logistics LLC	david.goldberg@katten.com	19-cv-01788 19-cv-01791
Roth 401(K) Plan		
Education Control I I C Doth		19-cv-01792
Edgepoint Capital LLC Roth		19-cv-01794
401(K) Plan		19-cv-01798
TT 1 1114 C		19-cv-01800
Headsail Manufacturing		19-cv-01801
LLC Roth 401(K) Plan		19-cv-01803
		19-cv-01806
The Random Holdings		19-cv-01808
401(K) Plan		19-cv-01809
		19-cv-01810
The Stor Capital Consulting		19-cv-01812
LLC 401(K) Plan		19-cv-01813
		19-cv-01815
		19-cv-01818
		19-cv-01870
		19-cv-01918
		19-cv-01922

	1	
		19-cv-01926
		19-cv-01928
		19-cv-01929
		19-cv-01931
Michael Ben-Jacob	Thomas E. L. Dewey	18-cv-04434
	Dewey Pegno & Kramarsky LLP	18-cv-07824
	777 Third Avenue – 29th Floor	18-cv-07827
	New York, New York 10017	18-cv-07828
	Tel.: (212) 943-9000	18-cv-07829
	Fax: (212) 943-4325	19-cv-01781
	tdewey@dpklaw.com	19-cv-01783
		19-cv-01785
	Elliot R. Peters	19-cv-01788
	Julia L. Allen	19-cv-01791
	Keker, Van Nest & Peters LLP	19-cv-01792
	633 Battery Street	19-cv-01794
	San Francisco, CA 94111	19-cv-01798
	Tel.: (415) 962-7188	19-cv-01800
	epeters@keker.com	19-cv-01801
		19-cv-01803
		19-cv-01806
		19-cv-01808
		19-cv-01809
		19-cv-01810
		19-cv-01812
		19-cv-01813
		19-cv-01815
		19-cv-01818
		19-cv-01866
		19-cv-01867
		19-cv-01868
		19-cv-01869
		19-cv-01870
		19-cv-01871
		19-cv-01873
		19-cv-01894
		19-cv-01896
		19-cv-01918
		19-cv-01922
		19-cv-01926
		19-cv-01928
		19-cv-01929
		19-cv-01931
		21-cv-05339